AAU, Anand's NAHEP-CAAST project has been ranked top performer by ICAR and World Bank in category of CAAST under second call

ICAR and World Bank has rated AAU, Anand's NAHEP-CAAST project "Centre for Agricultural Market Intelligence" as top performer in the category of Centre for Advanced Agriculture Science and Technology (CAAST) under second call.

Performance of different SAUs under NAHEP is given in the below table.

Performance Scoreboard: CAAST

Award Call	Name of the AU	Financial management (Max: 4)	Procurement management (Max: 1)	Output-Outcome monitoring (Max: 5)	Final Score (Max: 10)	Rating
1	PAU, Ludhiana	3.2	0.8	4.1	8.1	Strength
I	MPKV, Rahuri	3.2	0.8	3.1	7.1	Competent
1	UAS, Bangalore	4.0	1.0	0.9	5.9	Competent
1	CIFE, Mumbai	3.2	0.6	1.6	5-4	Competent
1	IARI, New Delhi	3.2	1.0	0.6	4.8	Needs Improvement
1	CSAU&T, Kanpur	2.4	0.6	1.3	4.3	Needs Improvement
1	BCKV, West Bengal	3.2	0.8	0.0	4.0	Needs Improvement
1	IVRI, Izatnagar	2.4	0.6	0.9	3.9	Needs Improvement
1	NAU, Navsari	2.4	0.6	0.0	3.0	Needs Improvement
II	AAU, Anand	4.0	1.0	1.6	6.6	Competent
П	KAU, KERALA	3.2	0.6	2.5	6.3	Competent
II	VNMKV, Parbhani	2.4	0.6	2.8	5.8	Competent
II	CSK HPKV, Palampur	2.4	0.6	1.9	4.9	Needs Improvement
п	BAU, Ranchi	3.2	0.6	0.3	4.1	Needs Improvement

Performance Scoreboard: IDP

Award Call	Name of the AU	Financial management (Max: 4)	Procurement management (Max: 1)	Output-Outcome monitoring (Max: 5)	Final Score (Max: 10)	Rating
I	JAU, Junagadh	4.0	1.0	2.9	7.9	Strength
I	ANGRAU, Guntur	3.2	1.0	3-3	7-5	Strength
I	AAU, Jorhat	3.2	1.0	2.5	6.7	Competent
I	NDRI, Karnal	3.2	0.6	2.7	6.5	Competent
I	TANUVAS, Chennai	3.2	0.8	2.5	6.5	Competent
1	OUAT, Bhubaneshwar	2.4	0.6	3-3	6.3	Competent
1	CCSHAU, Hisar	2.4	0.6	2.7	5.7	Competent
I	MPUAT, Udaipur	2,4	0.6	2.1	5.1	Competent
II	GBPUAT, Pantnagar	4.0	0.8	2.5	7-3	Competent
П	TNAU, Coimbatore	3.2	1.0	2.5	6.7	Competent
II	UAS, Dharwad	3.2	0.6	2.5	6.3	Competent
II	SKUAST, Kashmir	2.4	0.6	2.9	5.9	Competent
п	GADVASU, Ludhiana	2.4	1.0	1.7	5.1	Competent

Performance Scoreboard: IG

Award Call	Name of the AU	Financial management (Max: 4)	Procurement management (Max: 1)	Output-Outcome monitoring (Max: 5)	Final Score (Max: 10)	Rating
I	BASU, Patna	3.2	1.0	5.0	9.2	Strength
1	Kamdhenu, Gandhinagar	4.0	1.0	3.6	8.6	Strength
1	SVVU, Tirupati	3.2	0.6	3.6	7-4	Competent
1	PDKV, Akola	4.0	1.0	2.1	7.1	Competent
I	AU-Kota	4.0	0.8	0.7	5-5	Competent
1	SKRAU, Bikaner	2.4	0.8	2.1	5-3	Competent
1	PJTSAU, Hyderabad	3.2	0.6	1.4	5.2	Competent
1	AU, Jodhpur	3.2	0.8	0.0	4.0	Needs Improvement
1	PVNRTVU, Hyderabad	3.2	0.6	0.0	3.8	Needs Improvement
1	MPHU, Karnal	2,4	0.8	0.4	3.6	Needs Improvement
II	RLBCAU, Jhansi	4.0	0.8	3.9	8.7	Strength
11	UAS, Raichur, Karnataka	3.2	0.6	3.2	7.0	Competent
II	SKLTSHU-Telangana	3.2	1.0	2.1	6.3	Competent
II	WBUAFS, West Bengal	2.4	0.6	2.9	5.9	Competent
II	ANDUA&T-UP	3.2	0.6	0.7	4.5	Needs Improvement
II	Dr. RPCAU, Bihar	2.4	0.8	0.7	3.9	Needs Improvement
II	UBKV-WB	2.4	0.6	0.7	3.7	Needs Improvement